



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,280	01/27/2005	Takako Araki	050042	7575
23850	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			NGUYEN, LINH THI	
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING'	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,280	ARAKI, TAKAKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Linh T. Nguyen	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2005.				
, _	,—				
	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Contrippioanoli (i 10-102)			

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Nanba et al (US Patent number 5796704).

In regards to claim 1, Nanba et al discloses a disk playback device (Fig. 3A-B) capable of reproducing signals (Fig. 3A, element 68) from a disk by irradiating the disk with a laser beam from an optical head (Fig. 3A element 12), the disk playback device comprising a laser drive circuit (Fig. 3A, element 64) capable of feeding a drive signal to the optical head (Fig. 3A element 64 connected to element 12 of the laser diode 22) and adjusting a power of the laser beam irradiated (Fig. 3B, element 38) by the optical head and a control circuit for controlling operation of the laser drive circuit (Fig. 3A-B, element 38 is connected to 64 laser driving circuit), wherein the control circuit comprises reproduction power optimizing means (Fig. 3B, element 74) for repeatedly optimizing the power of the laser beam for signal reproduction (Column 7, lines 30-33), and the reproduction power optimizing means comprises: evaluation data detecting (activation control element) means for detecting evaluation data representing quality of a signal reproduction state (Fig. 4, signals E1, E2, E3, and E5, are inputted in element 78 to compare with a predetermined value, therefore, evaluating the signals for quality

(Column 8 lines 1-20)); retrieving means for retrieving one boundary value (Fig. 6, point 108) of two boundary values (Fig. 6, point 112) of a reproduction power wherein the evaluation data is a prescribed value (Wc) or in the vicinity of the prescribed value (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6); and optimum reproduction power calculating means (Fig. 4, element 85) for calculating an optimum reproduction power based on the one boundary value retrieved (based on ΔW the boundary value would be retrieved according to Fig. 5 and Fig. 6), wherein the retrieving means retrieves a new boundary value based on a boundary value obtained by a previous optimizing processing (Fig. 8, boundary value W in step S6 is used to calculate the next optimum value because the step is return to the S1).

In regards to claim 2, Nanba et al discloses a disk playback device according to claim 1, wherein the retrieving means retrieves a lower boundary value having a smaller value from the two boundary values (Fig. 8, S4), and the optimum reproduction power calculating means adds a predetermined value to the lower boundary value to thereby determine the optimum reproduction power (Fig. 8, S5).

In regards to claims 1/3 and 2/3, Nanba et al discloses a disk playback device, wherein the evaluation data is a frequency of occurrence of bit errors included in a reproduced signal (Fig. 10).

In regards to claims 1/4 and 2/4, Nanba et al discloses a disk playback device,

Application/Control Number: 10/520,280 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

wherein the disk playback device comprises temperature detecting means (Fig. 4, element 84) for detecting a temperature of the disk, and the reproduction power optimizing means optimizes the reproduction power whenever the temperature of the disk varies by a predetermined temperature (Fig. 7, S9-10).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN March 28, 2006

ANDREA WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER